To: Sweeney, Stephen[Sweeney.Stephen@epa.gov]

Cc: Carlin, Jayne[Carlin.Jayne@epa.gov]; Byrne, Jennifer[Byrne.Jennifer@epa.gov]

From: Wu, Jennifer

Sent: Mon 10/20/2014 4:59:31 PM

Subject: RE: Region 10/NOAA documents re Oregon CZARA requirements

Thanks, Steve, for the email. It sounds like the OGC attorneys on the pesticides case have a lot of the same concerns the pesticides program folks had. If you want to talk beforehand or need me to be available for questions re: the pesticide team side of things, let me know.

As for the version, you don't have the latest version, but should be getting it tomorrow. I sent around the first version to the pesticide subgroup for their comments and review, but the next version that gets sent around (tomorrow) will be the whole thing for the full CZARA legal team and senior management to review. I'll send you a version as soon as I'm done.

From: Sweeney, Stephen

Sent: Monday, October 20, 2014 7:16 AM

To: Wu, Jennifer

Cc: Carlin, Jayne; Byrne, Jennifer

Subject: FW: Region 10/NOAA documents re Oregon CZARA requirements

Jenny,

I was out on Friday and this string came in from my OGC colleague who does FIFRA work. I don't know whether I also have the underlying document in my inbox (just getting back from meetings and catching up emails), but I wanted to pass this along in advance.

Thanks,

Steve

From: Keigwin, Richard

ED_454-000307508 EPA-6822_011402

Sent: Saturday, October 18, 2014 1:15 PM

To: Dyner, Mark; Brady, Donald; Knorr, Michele; Sweeney, Stephen; Eiden, Catherine; Pease,

Anita

Subject: Re: Region 10/NOAA documents re Oregon CZARA requirements

Ex. 5 - Attorney Client Ex. 5 - Attorney Client

From: Dyner, Mark

Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2014 4:36 PM

To: Brady, Donald; Keigwin, Richard; Knorr, Michele; Sweeney, Stephen; Eiden, Catherine;

Pease, Anita

Subject: Region 10/NOAA documents re Oregon CZARA requirements

Deliberative/attorney-client communication/do not disclose

Ex. 5 - Attorney Client

Anyway, I really don't understand the context here that well, so it's hard for me to gauge whether all my concerns are merited, but I think it makes sense for us to discuss. I've eliminated the track changes in the "rationale" document for ease of reading.

Ex. 5 - Attorney Client

Ex. 5 - Attorney Client

ED_454-000307508 EPA-6822_011403

Mark Dyner

Office of General Counsel

(202) 564-1754